arXiv:1610.05458v1 [math.RT] 18 Oct 2016

# AN INTRODUCTION TO HIGHER AUSLANDER–REITEN THEORY

GUSTAVO JASSO AND SONDRE KVAMME

Abstract. This article consists of an introduction to Iyama's higher Auslander–Reiten theory for Artin algebras from the viewpoint of higher homological algebra. We provide alternative proofs of the basic results in higher Auslander–Reiten theory, including the existence of $d$-almost-split sequences in $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories, following the approach to classical Auslander–Reiten theory due to Auslander, Reiten, and Smalø. We show that Krause's proof of Auslander's defect formula can be adapted to give a new proof of the defect formula for $d$-exact sequences. We use the defect formula to establish the existence of morphisms determined by objects in $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories.

## 1. Introduction

Let $R$ be a commutative artinian ring. This article consists of an introduction to Iyama's higher Auslander–Reiten theory for Artin $R$-algebras from the viewpoint of higher homological algebra.

Auslander–Reiten theory was introduced in a series of articles between 1971 and 1978, see [Aus74, Aus78, AR74, AR75, AR77a, AR77b, AR78]. Since its introduction, Auslander–Reiten theory has become a fundamental tool for studying the representation theory of Artin algebras, see for example [ARS97].

The concept of an almost split sequence, central in Auslander–Reiten theory, has its origin in the following fundamental theorem of Auslander.

**Theorem** (Auslander correspondence, see Section III.4 in [Aus71])**.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the Morita-equivalence classes of Artin $R$-algebras $\Lambda$ of finite representation type and Morita-equivalence classes of Auslander $R$-algebras, that is Artin $R$-algebras $\Gamma$ satisfying*

$$\mathsf{gl.dim}\,\Gamma \leq 2 \leq \mathsf{dom.dim}\,\Gamma$$

*where* $\mathsf{dom.dim}\,\Gamma$ *denotes the dominant dimension of* $\Gamma$ *in the sense of* [Tac73]*. The correspondence is given by* $M \mapsto \mathsf{End}_\Lambda(M)$*, where $M$ is a representation generator of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$*.*

*Date*: October 19, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16G70. Secondary: 16G10.

*Key words and phrases.* Auslander–Reiten theory; almost-split sequences; morphisms determined by objects; defect formula.

The authors thank Theo Raedschelders for bringing to their attention the article [Kra03] and for participating in discussions which lead to the writing of the present article. These thanks are extended to Julian Külshammer and Matthew Pressland for their comments on a previous version of this article. The first named author wishes to thank Insitut Mittag-Leffler and the organizers of program 1415s where a substantial part of this article was written.

Let $\Lambda$ be an Artin $R$-algebra of finite representation type and $M$ a representation generator of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Suppose that $\Lambda$ is not semi-simple. Then, the Artin $R$-algebra $\Gamma := \mathsf{End}_\Lambda(M)$ has global dimension 2. Let $S$ be a simple $\Gamma$-module of projective dimension 2 and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M^{-2}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M^{-1}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M^0) \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$$

a minimal projective resolution of $S$. By Yoneda's lemma, this resolution is given by a left exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M^{-2} \longrightarrow M^{-1} \longrightarrow M^0$$

of $\Lambda$-modules. It turns out that this sequence is, moreover, an almost split sequence. Conversely, an almost split sequence in $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ corresponds to a minimal projective resolution of a simple $\Gamma$-module.

Higher Auslander–Reiten theory was introduced by Iyama in 2004 in [Iya07b] and [Iya07a] (see also the survey article [Iya08]). In addition to representation theory [IO11, HI11b, Miz14], it has exhibited connections to commutative algebra, commutative and non-commutative algebraic geometry, and combinatorics, see for example [HI11a, OT12, IO13, HIMO14].

In complete analogy with the classical theory, higher Auslander–Reiten theory can be motivated by the following theorem of Iyama. Let $d$ be a positive integer. We recall that a (right) $\Lambda$-module $M$ is *$d$-cluster-tilting* if

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{add}\, M &= \left\{ X \in \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda \mid \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \mathsf{Ext}^i_\Lambda(X, M) = 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ Y \in \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda \mid \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \mathsf{Ext}^i_\Lambda(M, Y) = 0 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that a 1-cluster-tilting $\Lambda$-module is precisely a representation generator of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. The intrinsic properties of $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories have been investigated in [GKO13, Jas16, Jør16].

**Theorem** (Higher Auslander correspondence, see Theorem 0.2 in [Iya07a])**.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of $d$-cluster-tilting modules for Artin $R$-algebras $\Lambda$ and Morita-equivalence classes of $d$-Auslander $R$-algebras, that is Artin $R$-algebras $\Gamma$ satisfying*

$$\mathsf{gl.dim}\,\Gamma \leq d+1 \leq \mathsf{dom.dim}\,\Gamma$$

*The correspondence is given by $M \mapsto \mathsf{End}_\Lambda(M)$, where $M$ is a $d$-cluster-tilting $\Lambda$-module.*

Analogously, the notion of a $d$-almost split sequence can be motivated as follows. Let $\Lambda$ be an Artin $R$-algebra and $M$ a $d$-cluster-tilting $\Lambda$-module. Suppose that $\Lambda$ is not semi-simple. Then, the Artin $R$-algebra $\Gamma := \mathsf{End}_\Lambda(M)$ has global dimension $d+1$. Let $S$ be a simple $\Gamma$-module of projective dimension $d+1$ and

$$0 \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M^{-(d+1)}) \to \cdots \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M^{-1}) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M^0) \to S \to 0$$

a minimal projective resolution of $S$. By Yoneda's lemma, this resolution is given by a sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M^{-(d+1)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^{-1} \longrightarrow M^0$$

of $\Lambda$-modules. Such a sequence serves as the prototype for the definition of a $d$-almost split sequence.

In this article we adopt a complementary point of view. In Section 2 we motivate the introduction of $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ by investigating exact sequences of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

whose terms lie in a $d$-rigid subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, that is such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the equality $\mathsf{Ext}^i_\Lambda(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ holds. We call such sequences *$d$-exact sequences*. In Section 3 we modify Krause's proof of Auslander's defect formula [Kra03] to give a new proof of the defect formula for $d$-exact sequences. Following Auslander, Reiten, and Smalø [ARS97], in Section 4 we establish the existence of morphisms determined by objects in $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories. Finally, in Section 5, we prove the existence of $d$-almost split sequences in $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories as a consequence of the existence of morphisms determined by objects.

**Conventions and preliminaries.** Throughout the article we fix a positive integer $d$. We also fix a commutative artinian ring $R$ and an Artin $R$-algebra $\Lambda$. We denote by $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ the abelian category of finite length, equivalently finitely presented, right $\Lambda$-modules. Let $S_1, \dots, S_n$ be a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the simple $R$-modules, and $I$ the injective envelope of the sum $S_1 \oplus S_2 \cdots \oplus S_n$. Recall that

$$D := \mathsf{Hom}_R(-, I)\colon\ \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda \longrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}$$

is a duality. We denote by $\tau$ the Auslander–Reiten translation of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. All subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ which we consider are assumed to be full and closed under direct sums and direct summands; in particular, they are additive subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. When convenient, we write $(-,-)$ instead of $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(-,-)$ and ${}^d(-,-)$ instead of $\mathsf{Ext}^d_\Lambda(-,-)$. If $M \in \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, then we denote by $\mathsf{add}\,M$ the smallest subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ containing $M$ and which is closed under direct sums and direct summands.

Recall that a morphism $f\colon L \to M$ of $\Lambda$-modules is *right minimal* if each morphism $g\colon L \to L$ such that $f \circ g = f$ is an isomorphism. The notion of a morphism being *left minimal* is defined dually. Clearly, if $f\colon L \to M$ is a right minimal morphism and $g\colon M \to N$ is a monomorphism, then the composite $g \circ f$ is right minimal. The following observation is well known.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let $L \xrightarrow{g} M \xrightarrow{f} N$ be a complex in $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ such that the induced sequence of $R$-modules*

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, L) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N)$$

*is exact. Then, $g$ is in the Jacobson radical of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ if and only if $f$ is right minimal.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $g$ is in the Jacobson radical of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Let $h\colon M \to M$ be a morphism such that $f \circ h = f$. Given that $f \circ (h - 1_M) = 0$, the morphism $h - 1_M$ factors through $g$, say as $g \circ i$. Since $g$ is in the Jacobson radical of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ the morphism $h = 1_M + g \circ i$ is invertible, which is what we needed to show.

Conversely, suppose that $f$ is right minimal. Let $i\colon M \to L$ be a morphism and set $h := 1_M + g \circ i$. Then, $f \circ h = f + f \circ (g \circ i) = f$. Since $f$ is right minimal, $h$ is an isomorphism. This shows that $g$ belongs to the Jacobson radical of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. □

Recall that a subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ is *contravariantly finite* if for every $M \in \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ there exists $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and a morphism $f\colon X \to M$ such that for all $X' \in \mathcal{X}$ the induced sequence of $R$-modules

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X', X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X', M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. We call such a morphism $f$ a *right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation of $M$*. A right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation of $M$ is *minimal* if it is a right minimal morphism. We define *covariantly finite subcategories* and *(minimal) left approximations* dually. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is *functorially finite* if it is both a contravariantly finite and a covariantly finite subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$.

A subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ is said to be *generating* if $\Lambda \in \mathcal{X}$ (we remind the reader of our conventions on subcategories). Similarly, $\mathcal{X}$ is *cogenerating* if $D\Lambda \in \mathcal{X}$. Note that if $\mathcal{X}$ is a generating contravariantly finite subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, then every right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation $f\colon X \to M$ of $M$ is an epimorphism since the projective cover of $M$ factors through $f$; dually, if $\mathcal{X}$ is a cogenerating covariantly finite subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, then every left $\mathcal{X}$-approximation is a monomorphism. We use these facts freely in the sequel.

## 2. $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories

In this section we motivate the study of $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ from the viewpoint of $d$-homological algebra. For this, we first introduce the notion of a $d$-exact sequence in a subcategory and establish their basic properties.

### 2.1. $d$-exact sequences.

We begin by investigating the properties of degree $d$ exact sequences in $d$-rigid subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ is *$d$-rigid* if for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the equality $\mathsf{Ext}^i_\Lambda(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ holds.

Note that, by definition, all short exact sequences in a $d$-rigid subcategory are split. Nevertheless, the following simple observation motivates the investigation of the properties of exact sequences of degree $d$ in such subcategories.

**Proposition 2.2** (*cf.* Lemma 3.5 in [Iya11])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-rigid subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and*

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

*an exact sequence in $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ whose terms all lie in $\mathcal{M}$. Then, for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ there are exact sequences in $\mathsf{mod}\,R$*

$$0 \to (X, L) \to (X, M^1) \to \cdots \to (X, M^d) \to (X, N) \to {}^d(X, L) \to {}^d(X, M^1)$$

*and*

$$0 \to (N, Y) \to (M^d, N) \to \cdots \to (M^1, Y) \to (N, Y) \to {}^d(N, Y) \to {}^d(M^d, Y).$$

*Proof.* We only construct the first sequence, the second sequence can be constructed dually. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a $d$-rigid subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, for each $i \in \{2, \dots, d-1\}$ the cohomology of the complex

$$0 \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, L) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^1) \to \cdots \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^d) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, N)$$

at $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^i)$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Ext}^{i-1}_\Lambda(X, L)$ which vanishes by assumption (*cf.* remark 2.4.3 in [Wei94] in which $F$-acylic objects are discussed).

Consider a short exact sequence $0 \to K \to M^d \to N \to 0$ extending the map $M^d \to N$. Applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, -)$ yields an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, K) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^d) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, N) \to \mathsf{Ext}^1_\Lambda(X, K) \to 0.$$

Similarly, let $0 \to L \to M^1 \to K' \to 0$ be a short exact sequence extending the map $L \to M^1$. Applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, -)$ yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ext}^{d-1}_\Lambda(X, K') \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ext}^d_\Lambda(X, L) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ext}^d_\Lambda(X, M^1).$$

By the dimension-shifting argument (see for example Exercise 2.4.3 in [Wei94]) there is an isomorphism between $\mathsf{Ext}^{d-1}_\Lambda(X, K')$ and $\mathsf{Ext}^1_\Lambda(X, K)$. Thus, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^d) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, N) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ext}^d_\Lambda(X, L) \to \mathsf{Ext}^d_\Lambda(X, M^1).$$
$$\mathsf{Ext}^1_\Lambda(X, K)$$

The claim follows. □

The study of the following class of exact sequences is motivated by 2.2.

**Definition 2.3** (see Definition 2.2 and Definition 2.4 in [Jas16])**.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. A complex

$$L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow N$$

in $\mathcal{M}$ is a *left $d$-exact sequence*[1] *in* $\mathcal{M}$ if for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the induced sequence of $R$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, L) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^d) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, N)$$

is exact. A *right $d$-exact sequence* is defined dually. A *$d$-exact sequence* is a sequence which is both a right $d$-exact sequence and a left $d$-exact sequence.

*Remark* 2.4. The reader should compare 2.3 with Definition 2.1 in [Iya11].

*Remark* 2.5. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and

$$L \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N$$

a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ such that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the morphism $M^i \to M^{i+1}$ lies in the Jacobson radical of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Then, 1.1 and its dual imply that $f$ is left minimal and $g$ is right minimal.

[1]We borrow this terminology from [Lin14].

According to 2.2, degree $d$ exact sequences whose terms all lie in a $d$-rigid subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ are $d$-exact sequences in this subcategory. In fact, the following partial converse hold.

**Proposition 2.6** (*cf.* Lemma 5.1 in [Jør16])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a generating $d$-rigid subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and*

$$\delta: \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow N$$

*a left $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. Then, $\delta$ is an exact sequence in $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$.*

*Proof.* The proof of Lemma 5.1 in [Jør16] carries over. Indeed, there is an isomorphism of complexes of $\Lambda$-modules

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda, L) & \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda, M^1) & \to \cdots \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda, M^d) & \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda, N) \\
 & & \downarrow\wr & & \downarrow\wr & & \downarrow\wr & & \downarrow\wr \\
0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & M^d & \longrightarrow & N
\end{array}$$

whose top row is exact by assumption. □

*Remark* 2.7. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a generating-cogenerating $d$-rigid subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. In view of 2.6 it is justified to write

$$\delta: \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

if $\delta$ is a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ (that is, $\delta$ is in particular an exact sequence in $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$).

We need the following easy result which is analogous to the comparison lemma for projective resolutions, see for example Theorem 2.2.6 in [Wei94].

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
X^\bullet & & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X^2 & \longrightarrow & X^1 & \longrightarrow & X^0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f^\bullet} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 0} \\
Y^\bullet & & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Y^2 & \longrightarrow & Y^1 & \longrightarrow & Y^0
\end{array}$$

*be a morphism of complexes of $\Lambda$-modules such that for all $i \geq 1$ the sequence of $R$-modules*

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X^i, Y^{i+1}) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X^i, Y^i) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X^i, Y^{i-1})$$

*is exact. Then, there exists a null-homotopy $h^\bullet$ of $f^\bullet$ such that the morphism $h^0 \colon X^0 \to Y^1$ is the zero morphism.*

*Proof.* See for example the Comparison Lemma 2.1 in [Jas16]. □

We recall that a complex of $\Lambda$-modules is contractible if its identity morphism is null-homotopic. The following straightforward result, well known for short exact sequences, is an important property of $d$-exact sequences.

**Proposition 2.9** (see Proposition 2.6 [Jas16])**.** *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ *and*

$$\delta\colon \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

*a* $d$*-exact sequence in* $\mathcal{M}$*. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*

*(a)* $\delta$ *is a contractible complex.*
*(b)* $f$ *is a split monomorphism.*
*(c)* $g$ *is a split epimorphism.*

*Proof.* It is clear that (a) implies both (b) and (c). We only show that (c) implies (a). Since $g$ is a split epimorphism, for all $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the complex

$$0 \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, L) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^1) \to \cdots \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^d) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, N) \to 0$$

is exact. In particular, the morphism of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{f} & M^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & M^d & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{f} & M^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & M^d & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

satisfies the hypothesis in 2.8 and, therefore, the identity morphism of $\delta$ is null-homotopic. □

Pullback and pushout diagrams play an important role in homological algebra. We consider the following higher analogues of these concepts.

**Definition 2.10.** (see Definition 2.11 in [Jas16]) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. A morphism of complexes of $\Lambda$-modules of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
M^0 & \xrightarrow{f^0} & M^1 & \xrightarrow{f^1} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f^{d-1}} & M^d \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle u^0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u^1} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u^d} \\
N^0 & \xrightarrow{g^0} & N^1 & \xrightarrow{g^1} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{g^{d-1}} & N^d
\end{array}$$

is a *$d$-pullback diagram in* $\mathcal{M}$ (resp. *$d$-pushout diagram in* $\mathcal{M}$) if the mapping cone

$$M^0 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -f^0 \\ u^0 \end{bmatrix}} M^1 \oplus N^0 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -f^1 & 0 \\ u^1 & g^0 \end{bmatrix}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -f^{d-1} & 0 \\ u^{d-1} & g^{d-2} \end{bmatrix}} M^d \oplus N^{d-1} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} u^d & g^{d-1} \end{bmatrix}} N^d$$

is a left $d$-exact sequence (resp. right $d$-exact sequence) in $\mathcal{M}$.

*Remark* 2.11. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. The reader can readily verify that a morphism of complexes of $\Lambda$-modules of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
N^0 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & N^{d-1} & \longrightarrow & N^d & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & N^{d+1} & \xrightarrow{g} & N^{d+2}
\end{array}$$

is a $d$-pullback diagram in $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if

$$N^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow N^d \longrightarrow N^{d+1} \xrightarrow{g} N^{d+2}$$

is a left $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. Similarly, a morphism of complexes of $\Lambda$-modules of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} M^0 & \xrightarrow{-f} & M^1 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M^2 & \longrightarrow & M^3 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M^{d+2} \end{array}$$

is a $d$-pushout diagram in $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if

$$M^0 \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow M^2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^{d+2}$$

is a right $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$.

The following result is analogous to the classical statement that pullbacks preserve kernels.

**Proposition 2.12** (*cf.* Proposition 4.8 [Jas16])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ *and consider a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & U^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & U^d & \longrightarrow & V \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L & \longrightarrow & M^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & M^d & \longrightarrow & N \end{array}$$

*where the rectangle is a $d$-pullback diagram in $\mathcal{M}$ and whose bottom row is a left $d$-exact sequence. Then, the diagram above extends uniquely to a morphism of complexes of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} L & \longrightarrow & U^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & U^d & \longrightarrow & V \\ \| & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L & \longrightarrow & M^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M^d & \longrightarrow & N \end{array}$$

*whose top row is also a left $d$-exact sequence.*

*Proof.* Consider the standard sequence in the abelian category of complexes of $\Lambda$-modules

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} U^\bullet & 0 & \longrightarrow & U^1 & \longrightarrow & U^2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & U^d \longrightarrow V \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ M^\bullet & 0 & \longrightarrow & M^1 & \longrightarrow & M^2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M^d \longrightarrow N \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ C^\bullet(\varphi) & U^1 & \longrightarrow & U^2 \oplus M^1 & \longrightarrow & U^3 \oplus M^2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & V \oplus M^d \longrightarrow N \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ U^\bullet[1] & U^1 & \longrightarrow & U^2 & \longrightarrow & U^3 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & V \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, -)$ to this diagram yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,M^1) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,M^2) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,M^d) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,N) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,U^1) & \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,U^2 \oplus M^1) & \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,U^3 \oplus M^2) & \to \cdots \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,V \oplus M^d) & \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,N) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,U^1) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,U^2) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,U^3) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,V). & &
\end{array}$$

whose vertical columns are split short exact sequences. Since the two top rows are exact, a diagram chase shows that the bottom row is also exact.

By the definition of a $d$-pullback diagram, there exists a morphism $L \to U^1$ rendering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
L & \overset{0}{\longrightarrow} & \\
 \searrow & & \\
 & U^1 \longrightarrow U^2 & \\
 & \downarrow \quad\quad \downarrow & \\
 & M^1 \longrightarrow M^2 &
\end{array}$$

commutative. Since $L \to M^1$ is a monomorphism, $L \to U^1$ is also a monomorphism. The rest of the proof is standard. Let $W \to U^1$ be a morphism such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & W & & \\
 & & \downarrow & \searrow^{0} & \\
L & \longrightarrow & U^1 & \longrightarrow & U^2.
\end{array}$$

commutes. It follows that there exist a morphism $W \to L$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & W & & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow^{0} & \\
L & \longrightarrow & U^1 & \longrightarrow & U^2 \\
\| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
L & \longrightarrow & M^1 & \longrightarrow & M^2
\end{array}$$

commutes. Using the fact that $U^1 \to U^2 \oplus M^1$ is a monomorphism it can be easily verified that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & W & & \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow^{0} & \\
L & \longrightarrow & U^1 & \longrightarrow & U^2 \\
\| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
L & \longrightarrow & M^1 & \longrightarrow & M^2
\end{array}$$

commutes. This finishes the proof. □

2.2. **$d$-cluster-tilting subcategories.** The following proposition provides motivation for introducing the class of $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, *cf.* Theorem 2.2.3 in [Iya07b].

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-rigid subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C^1 & \longrightarrow & M^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M^{d-1} & \longrightarrow & M^d & \longrightarrow & N \\ & & \| & \nearrow & & & & & \downarrow & \nearrow & & & \\ & & C^1 & & & & \cdots & & C^d & & & & \end{array}$$

*such that $M^d \to N$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}$ and such that following statements hold:*

- *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the morphism $C^i \to M^i$ is a kernel of $M^i \to M^{i+1}$ (by convention, $M^{d+1} := N$).*
- *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the morphism $M^i \to C^{i+1}$ is a surjective right $\mathcal{M}$-approximation of $C^{i+1}$.*

*Then, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the equality $\mathsf{Ext}^i_\Lambda(\mathcal{M}, C^1) = 0$ holds. Moreover, for each $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the induced sequence of $R$-modules*

$$0 \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, C^1) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^1) \to \cdots \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^d) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, N)$$

*is exact.*

*Proof.* By construction, for all $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the sequence of $R$-modules

$$0 \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, C^1) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^1) \to \cdots \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, M^d) \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, N)$$

is exact. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$. One then shows, by induction on $i$, that for all $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ the equality $\mathsf{Ext}^j_\Lambda(X, C^{d-i}) = 0$ holds. (see the proof of Proposition 3.17 in [Jas16] for details). □

The following definition is motivated by 2.13.

**Definition 2.14** (see Definition 2.2 in [Iya07b])**.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a functorially finite subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. We call $\mathcal{M}$ a *$d$-cluster-tilting subcategory* if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \left\{ X \in \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda \mid \forall k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \mathsf{Ext}^k_\Lambda(X, \mathcal{M}) = 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ Y \in \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda \mid \forall k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \mathsf{Ext}^k_\Lambda(\mathcal{M}, Y) = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark* 2.15. Note that $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ itself is its unique 1-cluster-tilting subcategory. More generally, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. By definition, $\mathcal{M}$ is a both a generating and a cogenerating subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. In the spirit of the Morita–Tachikawa correspondence [Tac73], the study of $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories can be regarded as the study of a particularly nice class of generating-cogenerating subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, see for example [Iya07a, IJ].

**Proposition 2.16.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and $g \colon M^d \to N$ a morphism in $\mathcal{M}$. Then, the following statements hold:*

*(a) There exists a left $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ of the form*

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^{d-1} \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N.$$

*Moreover, for all* $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ *the morphism* $M^i \to M^{i+1}$ *can be chosen to be right minimal.*

(b) *If* $g$ *is right minimal, then for all* $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ *the morphism* $M^i \to M^{i+1}$ *and* $f$ *can be chosen to lie in the Jacobson radical of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$.

(c) *Suppose that* $d \geq 2$ *or that* $d = 1$ *and* $g$ *lies in the Jacobson radical of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. *Then,* $L \to M^1$ *is left minimal.*

*Proof.* (a) This is immediate from the fact that $\mathcal{M}$ is a contravariantly finite subcategory, 2.13, and the definition of a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory. For the right minimality, choose the right $\mathcal{M}$-approximations $M^i \to C^{i+1}$ used to construct the left $d$-exact sequence to be right minimal.

(b) The claim follows by choosing right minimal approximations as above and 1.1.

(c) If $d \geq 2$, then the claim follows from part (b) and the dual of 1.1. If $d = 1$, then the claim follows from the dual of 1.1. □

One of the defining properties of abelian categories is that every epimorphism is the cokernel of its kernel. The following result shows that $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ satisfy an analogous property, *cf.* Theorem 3.16 and Proposition 3.18 in [Jas16].

**Proposition 2.17.** *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a* $d$*-cluster-tilting subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ *and*

$$\delta\colon \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N$$

*a left* $d$*-exact sequence in* $\mathcal{M}$ *such that* $g$ *is an epimorphism. Then,* $\delta$ *is a* $d$*-exact sequence.*

*Proof.* Since $g$ is an epimorphism, by 2.6 the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is in particular a $d$-rigid subcategory, the claim follows from 2.2. □

Combining 2.16, 2.17, and their duals, gives the following result.

**Proposition 2.18.** *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a* $d$*-cluster-tilting subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. *Then,* $\mathcal{M}$ *is a* $d$*-abelian category in the sense of Definition 3.1 in* [Jas16].

We conclude this section with a couple of technical results regarding $d$-pullback diagrams and $d$-pushout diagrams in $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$.

**Proposition 2.19** (see Theorem 3.8 in [Jas16])**.** *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a* $d$*-cluster-tilting subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$,

$$M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow M$$

*a complex in* $\mathcal{M}$, *and* $f\colon N \to M$ *a morphism in* $\mathcal{M}$. *Then, there exists a* $d$*-pullback diagram in* $\mathcal{M}$ *of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & N^d & \longrightarrow & N \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ M^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M^d & \longrightarrow & M. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Construct a commutative diagram



as follows. Construct a pullback diagram



Since the solid diagram



commutes, the dotted morphism rendering the diagram commutative exists. Let $N^d \to C^d$ be a right $\mathcal{M}$-approximation of $C^d$. Construct a pullback diagram



and iterate the previous argument. By construction, the resulting diagram induces a commutative diagram



which satisfies the assumptions in 2.13 whence

$$0 \longrightarrow C^1 \longrightarrow N^2 \oplus M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow N^d \oplus M^{d-1} \longrightarrow N \oplus M^d \xrightarrow{g} M$$

is a left $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. This sequence is precisely the mapping cone of the morphism of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N^1 = C^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & N^d & \longrightarrow & N \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ M^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M^d & \longrightarrow & M. \end{array}$$

The claim follows. □

**Proposition 2.20** (see Proposition 4.8 in [Jas16])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$*. Consider a morphism of complexes in $\mathcal{M}$ of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & U^1 & \longrightarrow & \ldots & \longrightarrow & U^d & \xrightarrow{g'} & V \\ & & \| & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M^d & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

*whose bottom row is a $d$-exact sequence. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

*(a) The top row is a $d$-exact sequence.*
*(b) The rightmost square is a $d$-pullback diagram.*
*(c) The rightmost square is a $d$-pullback diagram and a $d$-pushout diagram.*

*Proof.* Obviously, statement (c) implies (b). We show that (b) implies (c). By assumption

$$0 \longrightarrow U^1 \longrightarrow U^2 \oplus M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow V \oplus M^d \longrightarrow N$$

is a left $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. Since the morphism $V \oplus M^d \to N$ is an epimorphism, the claim follows from 2.17.

Now we show that statement (c) implies statement (a). By 2.12 the top row of the diagram is a left $d$-exact sequence. Hence, in view of 2.17, it is enough to show that $g'$ is an epimorphism. For this, let $V \to W$ be a morphism such that the solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U^d & \xrightarrow{g'} & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M^d & \xrightarrow{g} & N \\ & {\scriptstyle 0}\searrow & \vdots \\ & & W \end{array}$$

commutes. By assumption, the dotted morphism $N \to W$ rendering the diagram commutative exists. Since $g$ is an epimorphism, the morphism $N \to W$ is the zero morphism. The claim follows. The fact that statement (a) implies statement (b) can be shown using an argument similar to that used in the proof of 2.12. We leave the details to the reader. □

## 3. The defect of a $d$-exact sequence

In this section we introduce the defect of a $d$-exact sequence, which is analogous to the defect of a short exact sequence. We prove a higher version of Auslander's defect formula using a minor modification of Krause's proof of the classical formula, *cf.* [Kra03].

**Definition 3.1.** (see Section IV.4 in [ARS97]) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and

$$\delta\colon \qquad L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow N$$

a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. We define $\delta^*$, the *contravariant defect of* $\delta$, by the exact sequence of functors

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(-, M^d) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(-, N) \longrightarrow \delta^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Clearly, the association $(\delta, X) \mapsto \delta^*(X)$ induces a bifunctor

$$d\text{-}\mathsf{Ex}(\mathcal{M}) \times \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathsf{mod}\, R$$

where $d\text{-}\mathsf{Ex}(\mathcal{M})$ denotes the category of $d$-exact sequences in $\mathcal{M}$. Dually, the *covariant defect of* $\delta$, denoted by $\delta_*$, is defined by the exact sequence of functors

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M^1, -) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L, -) \longrightarrow \delta_* \longrightarrow 0.$$

The following observation shows that the defect is well defined up to homotopy equivalence of $d$-exact sequences (considered as complexes).

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ *and*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\delta & & L & \longrightarrow & M^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & M^d & \longrightarrow & N \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\varepsilon & & U & \longrightarrow & V^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & V^d & \longrightarrow & W
\end{array}$$

*a homotopy equivalence between $d$-exact sequences in* $\mathcal{M}$. *Then,* $\varphi^*\colon \delta^* \to \varepsilon^*$ *is an isomorphism of functors.*

*Proof.* By the functoriality and additivity of $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(-,-)$, for each $M \in \mathcal{M}$ the induced morphism of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, L) & \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M^1) & \to \cdots \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, M^d) & \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N) \to 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 \to \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, U) & \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, V^1) & \to \cdots \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, V^d) & \to & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, W) \to 0
\end{array}$$

is a homotopy equivalence and, in particular, a quasi-isomorphism. Since $\delta^*(M)$ is the cohomology of the top row at $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N)$ and $\varepsilon^*(M)$ is the cohomology of the bottom row at $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M, W)$, the claim follows. □

We denote Heller's syzygy functor by $\Omega\colon \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\,\Lambda \to \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\,\Lambda$. Following [Iya07b], we consider the functor

$$\mathsf{Tr}_d := \mathsf{Tr}\,\Omega^{d-1}\colon \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\,\Lambda \longrightarrow \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\,\Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}$$

which is a higher analogue of the Auslander–Bridger transposition. Note that if $M \in \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ has projective dimension strictly less than $d$, then $\mathsf{Tr}_d M = 0$.

**Proposition 3.3** (see Proposition 1.1.1 in [Iya07b])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a generating $d$-rigid subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Then, $\mathsf{Tr}_d \colon \underline{\mathcal{M}} \to \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\,\Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}$ induces an equivalence onto its image.*

*Proof.* Let $M, N \in \mathcal{M}$ and consider commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} P^d & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P^1 & \longrightarrow & P^0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \\ Q^d & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Q^1 & \longrightarrow & Q^0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the rows give projective resolutions of $M$ and $N$. Applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(-,\Lambda)$ to this diagram yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(Q^0,\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(Q^{d-1},\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(Q^d,\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Tr}_d N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{Tr}_d f} & & \\ \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(P^0,\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(P^{d-1},\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(P^d,\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Tr}_d M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

whose rows give projective resolutions of $M$ and $N$. Indeed, for $i \in \{1,\dots,d-1\}$ the cohomology of the top row at $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(Q^i,\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Ext}^i_\Lambda(N,\Lambda)$ which vanishes by assumption. Similarly, for $i \in \{1,\dots,d-1\}$ the cohomology of the top row at $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(P^i,\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Ext}^i_\Lambda(M,\Lambda)$ which vanishes by assumption. Since $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(-,\Lambda)$ restricts to a duality between projective $\Lambda$-modules and projective $\Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules, the claim follows. □

We recall the following homological result which provides some motivation for the introduction of the higher transpose.

**Proposition 3.4** (see Proposition 1.1.3 in [Iya07b])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a generating $d$-rigid subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Then, for every $X \in \mathcal{M}$, every $M \in \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, and each $i \in \{1,\dots,d-1\}$ there are functorial isomorphisms*

$$\mathsf{Tor}^\Lambda_{d-i}(M, \mathsf{Tr}_d X) \cong \mathsf{Ext}^i_\Lambda(X, M)$$

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward. We refer the reader to Proposition 1.1.3 in [Iya07b] for details. □

The following result is an easy consequence of 3.4.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a generating-cogenerating $d$-rigid subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and*

$$\delta\colon \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

*a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. Then, for each $X \in \mathcal{M}$ the induced sequence*

$$L \otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X \longrightarrow M^1 \otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X \longrightarrow N \otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X \longrightarrow 0$$

*is exact in $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$.*

*Proof.* In view of 3.4, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ and for all $M' \in \mathcal{M}$ the equality $\mathsf{Tor}^{\Lambda}_{d-i}(M', \mathsf{Tr}_d X) \cong \mathsf{Ext}^i_{\Lambda}(X, M') = 0$ holds. By an argument similar to that used in the proof of 2.2 and 3.4 the cohomology of the complex

$$L \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathsf{Tr}_d X \longrightarrow M^1 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathsf{Tr}_d X \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathsf{Tr}_d X \longrightarrow N \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathsf{Tr}_d X \longrightarrow 0$$

at $M^i \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathsf{Tr}_d X$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Tor}^{\Lambda}_{d-i}(N, \mathsf{Tr}_d X) \cong \mathsf{Ext}^i_{\Lambda}(X, N) = 0$. □

Consider the functors

$$\tau_d := D\,\mathsf{Tr}_d \colon \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\,\Lambda \to \overline{\mathsf{mod}}\,\Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_d^- := \mathsf{Tr}_d\,D \colon \overline{\mathsf{mod}}\,\Lambda \to \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\,\Lambda$$

which are higher analogues of the Auslander–Reiten translation and its inverse.

**Theorem 3.6** (see Theorem 2.3 in [Iya07b])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Then,*

$$\tau_d \colon \underline{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \tau_d^- \colon \overline{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{M}}$$

*are mutually inverse equivalences.*

*Proof.* In view of 3.3 it is enough to show that for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$ the objects $\tau_d M$ and $\tau_d^- M$ also lie in $\mathcal{M}$. We claim that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ and for all $N \in \mathcal{M}$ there is an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{Ext}^{d-i}_{\Lambda}(N, \tau_d M) \cong D\,\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\Lambda}(M, N) = 0.$$

Indeed, let

$$P^{-d} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P^{-1} \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

be minimal projective resolution of $M$. By definition, there is a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau_d M \longrightarrow D(P^{-d}, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow D(P^{-1}, \Lambda) \longrightarrow D(P^0, \Lambda)$$

which is exact since for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ its cohomology at $D\,\mathsf{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{-i}, \Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $D\,\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\Lambda}(M, \Lambda)$ which vanishes by assumption (here we use the fact that $D$ is an exact functor). Hence this sequence gives an injective coresolution of $\tau_d M$. Note that there is an isomorphism of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(N, D(P^{-d}, \Lambda)) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & (N, D(P^{-1}, \Lambda)) & \longrightarrow & (N, D(P^0, \Lambda)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D(P^{-d}, N) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & D(P^{-1}, N) & \longrightarrow & D(P^0, N).
\end{array}$$

By definition, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the cohomology of the top row at

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\Lambda}(N, D\,\mathsf{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{-i}, \Lambda))$$

is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Ext}^{d-i}_{\Lambda}(N, \tau_d M)$. Also, since $D$ is an exact functor, the cohomology of the bottom row at

$$D\,\mathsf{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^{-i}, N)$$

is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Ext}^i_{\Lambda}(M, N)$. The claim follows. Dually, one can show that $\tau_d^- M \in \mathcal{M}$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

The following result, a higher analogue of Auslander's defect formula, is given as Lemma 3.2 in [Iya07b] where it is proven as a consequence of the higher Auslander–Reiten duality formula.

**Theorem 3.7** (Auslander's defect formula)**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$*. Then, there is a bifunctorial isomorphism between*

$$\begin{aligned} d\text{-}\mathsf{Ex}(\mathcal{M})^{\mathrm{op}} \times \underline{\mathcal{M}} &\longrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\, R \\ (\delta, X) &\longmapsto D\delta^*(X) \end{aligned}$$

*and*

$$\begin{aligned} d\text{-}\mathsf{Ex}(\mathcal{M})^{\mathrm{op}} \times \underline{\mathcal{M}} &\longrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\, R \\ (\delta, X) &\longmapsto \delta_*(\tau_d X). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof is an adaptation of the proof of the main theorem in [Kra03]. First, we observe that $\delta^*(\Lambda) = 0$, hence $\delta^*$ induces a functor on $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$P^{-d} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow P^{-1} \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal projective resolution of $X$. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Applying the functor $M \otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda)$ to this sequence yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} & & M\otimes_\Lambda(P^0,\Lambda) & \to & M\otimes_\Lambda(P^{-1},\Lambda) & \to\cdots\to & M\otimes_\Lambda(P^{-d},\Lambda) & \to & M\otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X & \to 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & \\ 0 \to (X,M) & \longrightarrow & (P^0,M) & \longrightarrow & (P^{-1},M) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & (P^{-d},M) & \longrightarrow & M\otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X & \to 0 \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows are the canonical isomorphisms. Note also that the bottom row of this diagram is exact, since by definition its cohomology at $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(P^{-1}, M)$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Ext}^i_\Lambda(X, M)$ which vanishes for $i \in \{\, 1, \ldots, d-1 \,\}$.

Let

$$\delta\colon \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

be a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. We obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \mathsf{Ker}(f\otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow (X,L) & \longrightarrow & (P^0,L) & \longrightarrow & (P^{-1},L) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & (P^{-d},L) & \longrightarrow & L\otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow (X,M^1) & \longrightarrow & (P^0,M^1) & \longrightarrow & (P^{-1},M^1) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & (P^{-d},M^1) & \longrightarrow & M^1\otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow (X,M^d) & \longrightarrow & (P^0,M^d) & \longrightarrow & (P^{-1},M^d) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & (P^{-d},M^d) & \longrightarrow & M^d\otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow (X,N) & \longrightarrow & (P^0,N) & \longrightarrow & (P^{-1},N) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & (P^{-d},N) & \longrightarrow & N\otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & \delta^*(X) & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns (the exactness of the rightmost column follows from 3.5). A Snake Lemma-type diagram chase (for example, one can use the Salamander Lemma 1.7 in [Ber12]) shows that there is a functorial isomorphism $\delta^*(X) \cong \mathsf{Ker}(f \otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X)$ as functors on $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$. Finally, we have a sequence of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} D\delta^*(X) &\cong D\,\mathsf{Ker}(f \otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X) \\ &\cong \mathsf{Coker}\, D(f \otimes_\Lambda \mathsf{Tr}_d X) \\ &\cong \mathsf{Coker}\, \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(f, D\,\mathsf{Tr}_d X) = \delta_*(\tau_d X). \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify that this ismorphism is functorial in $\delta$. □

As an immediate consequence of 3.7, we obtain Iyama's higher Auslander–Reiten duality formula given as Theorem 2.3.1 in [Iya07b].

**Corollary 3.8** (Higher Auslander–Reiten duality formula)**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Then, for $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a bifunctorial isomorphism*

$$D\underline{\mathsf{Hom}}_\Lambda(X, Y) \cong \mathsf{Ext}^d_\Lambda(Y, \tau_d X).$$

*Proof.* Let $M^d \to Y$ be an epimorphism with $M^d$ a projective $\Lambda$-module. By 2.16 and 2.17, there exists a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$

$$\delta\colon \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence, by 2.2 and 3.6 the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \tau_d X)$ induces an exact sequence

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M^d, \tau_d X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L, \tau_d X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ext}^d_\Lambda(Y, \tau_d X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ext}^d_\Lambda(M^d, \tau_d X) = 0$$

Therefore $\delta_*(\tau_d X) \cong \mathsf{Ext}^d_\Lambda(Y, \tau_d X)$. On the other hand, it follows from the lifting property of projective $\Lambda$-modules that $\delta^*(X) \cong \underline{\mathsf{Hom}}_\Lambda(X, Y)$. The claim now follows from 3.7. □

## 4. Morphisms determined by objects

In this section we show that $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ have right determined morphisms.

**Definition 4.1** (see Section 1 in [Aus78])**.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$. A morphism $g\colon M \to N$ in $\mathcal{X}$ is *right $X$-determined in $\mathcal{X}$* if for every morphism $h\colon V \to N$ in $\mathcal{X}$ such that

$$\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, h) \subseteq \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, g)$$

we have that $h$ factors through $g$. In such a case, we call $X$ a *right determiner*[2] *of $g$ in $\mathcal{X}$*.

The following result shows that every morphism in a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ has a determiner. In our setting, it is a more precise version of Corollary 3.8 in [Kra13].

[2]We use Ringel's terminology, see [Rin12].

**Theorem 4.2** (*cf.* Corollary XI.1.4 in [ARS97])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ *and*

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N$$

*a left $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. Then, $\Lambda \oplus \tau_d^- L$ is a right determiner of $g$ in $\mathcal{M}$. If $g$ is an epimorphism, then $\tau_d^- L$ is a right determiner of $g$ in $\mathcal{M}$.*

The proof of 4.2 makes use of the following easy consequence of the defect formula.

**Lemma 4.3** (*cf.* Corollary IV.4.4 in [ARS97])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of* $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ *and*

$$\delta\colon \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

*a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent for an object $X \in \mathcal{M}$:*

*(a) Every morphism $L \to X$ factors through $f$.*
*(b) Every morphism $\tau_d^- X \to N$ factors through $g$.*

*Proof.* By definition, the functors $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(-, X)$ and $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\tau_d^- X, -)$ induce exact sequences

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M^1, X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L, X) \longrightarrow \delta_*(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\tau_d^- X, M^d) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\tau_d^- X, N) \longrightarrow \delta^*(\tau_d^- X) \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathsf{mod}\,R$. By the dual of 3.7, we have $\delta_*(X) = 0$ if and only if $\delta^*(\tau_d^- X) = 0$. The claim follows. □

Now we give the proof of 4.2.

*Proof of 4.2.* Firstly, let $h\colon V \to N$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda \oplus \tau_d^- L, h) \subseteq \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda \oplus \tau_d^- L, g),$$

that is for every morphism $h'\colon \Lambda \oplus \tau_d^- L \to V$ the composite $h \circ h'$ factors through $g$. Applying 2.19 and 2.12, we obtain the solid $d$-pullback diagram




in which the middle row is also a left $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. Then, by the factorisation property of $d$-pullback diagrams we conclude that every $h'$ as above factors through $g'$. Since $g'$ is an epimorphism if and only if every morphism $\Lambda \to V$ factors through $g'$, we deduce from the previous discussion that $g'$ is indeed an epimorphism. Applying 2.17, we conclude the middle row is a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$.

Secondly, since every morphism $\tau_d^- L \to V$ factors through $g'$, by 4.3 every morphism $L \to L$ factors through $f'$. In particular, $f'$ is a split monomorphism and by 2.9 we have that $g'$ is a split epimorphism as well. Therefore $h$ factors through $g$. This shows that $\Lambda \oplus \tau_d^- L$ is a right determiner of $g$.

Suppose now that $g$ is an epimorphism. Then, in the diagram above, the bottom row is a $d$-exact sequence by 2.17. Consequently, by 2.20 the middle row is also a $d$-exact sequence. Then, the argument in the previous paragraph shows that $h$ factors through $g$. Therefore $\tau_d^- L$ is a right determiner of $g$ in this case. □

The next result is a special case of Proposition 3.9 in [Kra13].

**Theorem 4.4** (*cf.* Theorem XI.3.6 in [ARS97])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and $X, N \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, for each $\mathsf{End}_\Lambda(X)$-submodule $H \subseteq \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, N)$ there exists a morphism $g\colon M \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which is right $X$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$ and such that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, g) = H$.*

Before giving the proof of 4.4 we prove the following general result.

**Proposition 4.5** (*cf.* Proposition XI.3.2 [ARS97])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and*

$$\delta\colon \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

*a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. Then, there exists a $d$-pushout diagram*

$$\begin{array}{lccccccccc}
\delta\colon & 0 \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{f} & M^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & M^d & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & \\
\varepsilon\colon & 0 \longrightarrow & L_X & \xrightarrow{f_X} & M^1_X & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & M^d_X & \xrightarrow{g_X} & N & \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

*such that*

*(a) The morphism $g_X$ is right $X$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$.*
*(b) We have $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, g_X) = \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X, g)$.*

*Proof.* By definition, there is an exact sequence

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M^1, \tau_d X) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L, \tau_d X) \longrightarrow \delta_*(\tau_d X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We claim that there exists a morphism $h\colon L \to L_X$ such that $L_X$ is in $\mathsf{add}\,\tau_d X$ and such that the composition

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L_X, \tau_d X) \xrightarrow{(h,\, \tau_d X)} \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L, \tau_d X) \longrightarrow \delta_*(\tau_d X)$$

is a projective cover of the $\mathsf{End}_X(\tau_d X)$-module $\delta_*(\tau_d X)$. Indeed let

$$\varphi\colon \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L_X, \tau_d X) \to \delta_*(\tau_d X)$$

be a projective cover of $\delta_*(\tau_d X)$. Then, $\varphi$ factors through the epimorphism

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L, \tau_d X) \to \delta_*(\tau_d X)$$

due to the projectivity of $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L_X, L)$. Finally, by Yoneda's lemma, the required morphism is induced by some $h\colon L \to L_X$ (see also Lemma VI.3.1 [ASS06]).

By the dual of 2.19 and 2.12 there exists a $d$-pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\delta & 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{f} & M^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & M^d & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi} & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & \\
\varepsilon & 0 & \longrightarrow & L_X & \xrightarrow{f_X} & M_X^1 & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & M_X^d & \xrightarrow{g_X} & N & \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

(a) By 4.2, $g_X$ is right $\tau_d^- L_X$-determined and since $L_X \in \mathsf{add}\,\tau_d X$, it follows from 3.6 that $g_X$ is right $X$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$.

(b) Since $g$ factors through $g_X$ we have $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g) \subseteq \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g_X)$. By applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,-)$ to the above diagram and using the snake lemma, we obtain a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & \frac{\mathsf{Im}(X,g_X)}{\mathsf{Im}(X,g)} \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,M^d) & \xrightarrow{(X,\,g)} & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,N) & \longrightarrow & \delta^*(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi^*(X)} & & \\
\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,M_X^d) & \xrightarrow{(X,\,g_X)} & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,N) & \longrightarrow & \varepsilon^*(X) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

and where the left column is a short exact sequence. Hence it is sufficient to show that $\varphi^*(X)$ is a monomorphism or, equivalently by 3.7, that $\varphi_*(\tau_d X)$ is an epimorphism. Applying the functor $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(-,\tau_d X)$ to the same diagram as before yields a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M_X^1,\tau_d X) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L_X,\tau_d X) & \longrightarrow & \varepsilon_*(\tau_d X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle(h,\,\tau_d X)} & \searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi_*(\tau_d X)} & & \\
\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M^1,\tau_d X) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(L,\tau_d X) & \longrightarrow & \delta_*(\tau_d X) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

where the diagonal arrow is an epimorphism since it is a projective cover. Hence $\varphi_*(\tau_d X)$ is an epimorphism and the claim follows. □

Let $M, N \in \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. We denote the ideal of $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(M,N)$ of morphisms which factor through a projective $\Lambda$-module by $\mathcal{P}(M,N)$.

As a consequence of 4.5 we obtain the following special case of 4.4.

**Corollary 4.6** (*cf.* Corollary XI.3.4 [ARS97])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and $X, N \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, for each $\mathsf{End}_\Lambda(X)$-submodule $H \subseteq \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,N)$ such that $\mathcal{P}(X,N) \subseteq H$ there exists an epimorphism $g_X\colon M_X \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which is right $X$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$ and such that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g_X) = H$.*

*Proof.* Observe that, since $H$ is a finitely generated $\mathsf{End}_\Lambda(X)$-module, there exist $X' \in \mathsf{add}\,X$, $g'\colon X' \to N$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,X') & \xrightarrow{(X,\,g')} & \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,N). \\
 & \searrow \quad \nearrow & \\
 & H &
\end{array}$$

commutes. In particular, we have $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g') = H$. Let $p\colon P \to N$ be a projective cover. Then, $g := [g'\ p]\colon X' \oplus P \to N$ is an epimorphism and

$$\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g) = \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g') = H$$

since $\mathcal{P}(X,N) \subseteq H$. Then, by 2.16 and 4.5 we have that there exists a right $X$-determined epimorphism $g_X\colon M_X \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g_X) = H$, which is what we needed to show. □

We reduce the proof of 4.4 to 4.6. Note that 4.4 is clear if $X = \Lambda$. We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and $N \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, for each $\mathsf{End}_\Lambda(\Lambda)$-submodule $H \subseteq \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda,N)$ there exists a morphism $g\colon M \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which is right $\Lambda$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$ and such that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda,g) = H$.*

*Proof.* Consider $H$ as an $\mathsf{End}_\Lambda(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda$ submodule of $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda,N) \cong N$. Let $f\colon M \to H$ be a right $\mathcal{M}$-approximation of $H$. Let $i\colon H \to N$ be the canonical inclusion. Then, the composition $g := i \circ f\colon M \to N$ has the required properties, □

In what follows we adapt the approach used in the proof of Lemma XI.3.7 in [ARS97] to our setting. Fix a module $N \in \mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and let $(\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda)/N$ be the comma category over $N$, see for example Section I.2 in [ARS97]. We also recall that there is an equivalence relation on $(\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda)/N$ given by $f \sim f'$ if there exist non-zero morphisms $g\colon f \to f'$ and $g'\colon f' \to f$ in $(\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda)/N$. We denote the equivalence class of $f$ in $(\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda)/N$ by $[f]$. There is a partial order on the set of equivalence classes in $(\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda)/N$ given by $[f] \leq [f']$ if there exists a non-zero morphism $g\colon f \to f'$.

Fix $\Lambda$-modules $X, N \in \mathcal{M}$ and an $\mathsf{End}_\Lambda(X)$-submodule $H \subseteq \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,N)$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the collection of morphisms $f\colon M \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the following properties:

- $\mathcal{P}(X,M) \subset \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,f)^{-1}(H)$ and
- $f$ is right $\Lambda$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $f, f' \in \mathcal{S}$. Then, $[f] \leq [f']$ if and only if $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda,f) \subset \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda,f')$ since both $f$ and $f'$ are right $\Lambda$-determined.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let $f, f' \in \mathcal{S}$. Then, there exists a morphism $f'' \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $[f] \leq [f'']$ and $[f'] \leq [f'']$.*

*Proof.* By 4.7 there exists a morphism $f''\colon M'' \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which is right $\Lambda$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$ and satisfies

$$\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda,f'') = \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda,f) + \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda,f').$$

In particular, $[f] \leq [f'']$ and $[f'] \leq [f'']$ since $f''$ is $\Lambda$-determined. Also,

$$(X,f'')(\mathcal{P}(X,M'')) = (X,f)(\mathcal{P}(X,M)) + (X,f')(\mathcal{P}(X,M')) \subset H + H = H$$

which implies $\mathcal{P}(X,M'') \subset \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,f'')^{-1}(H)$. Hence, $f'' \in \mathcal{S}$, and the claim follows. □

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, $X, N \in \mathcal{M}$ and $H$ an $\mathsf{End}_\Lambda(X)$-submodule of $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,N)$. Then, there exists a morphism $u\colon N_H \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the following properties:*

*(a) $\mathcal{P}(X,N_H) \subseteq \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,u)^{-1}(H) \subseteq \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,N_H)$.*
*(b) For every $h\colon V \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,h) \subseteq H$, the morphism $h$ factors through $u$.*

*Proof.* Choose a morphism $u\colon N_H \to M$ in $\mathcal{S}$ such that $[u] \geq [f]$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$. This is possible by 4.8 and the fact that $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda, N)$ has finite length. By definition, $u$ satisfies property (a), so we only need to show that (b) holds. Let $h\colon V \to N$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,h) \subseteq H$. By 4.7, there exists a morphism $h'\colon V' \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which is $\Lambda$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$ and with $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda,h) = \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda,h')$. Hence, there exists a morphism $v\colon V \to V'$ satisfying $h = h' \circ v$. Furthermore,

$$\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,h')(\mathcal{P}(X,V')) = \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,h)(\mathcal{P}(X,V)) \subseteq \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,h) \subseteq H.$$

This shows that $h' \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence, we have that $[h'] \leq [u]$, and so there exists a morphism $w\colon V' \to N_H$ such that $h' = u \circ w$. Finally, we get that $h = h' \circ v = u \circ w \circ v$, which shows (b). □

We are ready to prove 4.4.

*Proof of 4.4.* Let $u\colon N_H \to N$ be the morphism given by 4.9 and set $H' := \mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,u)^{-1}(H)$. By 4.6, there exists a right $X$-determined morphism $g_{H'}\colon M_X \to N_H$ such that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g_{H'}) = H'$. Define $g := u \circ g_{H'}$. By construction, $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g) = H$. It remains to show that $g$ is right $X$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$. Let $h\colon V \to N$ be a morphism such that $\mathsf{Im}(X,h) \subseteq H = \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g)$. Then, by 4.9(b) the morphism $h$ factors through $u$ as $h = u \circ v$, say. It follows that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,v) \subseteq H' = \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(X,g_{H'})$. Finally, since $g_{H'}$ is right $X$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$ we have that $v$ factors through $g_{H'}$ and hence $h$ factors through $g$. This shows that $g$ is right $X$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

## 5. $d$-almost split sequences

In this section we establish the existence of $d$-almost split sequences in $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Recall that a morphism $g\colon M \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is *right almost split in* $\mathcal{M}$ if it is not a split epimorphism and every morphism $L \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which is not a split epimorphism factors through $g$.

The following result is classical.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and $g\colon M \to N$ a morphism in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $N$ is indecomposable. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*

*(a) $g$ is right almost split in $\mathcal{M}$.*
*(b) $g$ is right $N$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,g) = \mathsf{rad}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,N)$.*

*Proof.* Recall that since $N$ is indecomposable $\mathsf{rad}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,N)$ consists precisely of the non-invertible morphisms in $\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,N)$.

We prove that (a) implies (b). We begin by showing that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,g) = \mathsf{rad}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,N)$. It is clear from the definition of almost split morphism that $\mathsf{rad}(N,N) \subseteq \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,g)$. Conversely, let $h\colon N \to M$ be a morphism and suppose that $g \circ h \notin \mathsf{rad}(N,N)$. Hence $g \circ h$ is invertible and therefore there exists a morphism $i\colon N \to N$ such that $g \circ h \circ i = 1_N$. This contradicts the fact that $g$ is not a split epimorphism and the claim follows.

Let $h\colon V \to N$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,h) \subseteq \mathsf{Im}(N,g)$. We claim that $h$ is not a split epimorphism. Indeed, suppose otherwise; then there exists a morphism $i\colon N \to V$ such that $h \circ i = 1_N$. Hence $1_N \in \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,h) \subseteq \mathsf{rad}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,N)$, a contradiction. Therefore $h$ is not a split epimorphism and,

given that $g$ is right almost split, $h$ factors through $g$. This shows that $g$ is right $N$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$.

We prove that (b) implies (a). Let $h\colon V \to N$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}$ which is not a split epimorphism. One can show, as above, that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,h) \subseteq \mathsf{rad}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,N) = \mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,g)$. Finally, since $g$ is right $N$-determined we have that $h$ factors through $g$, which is what we needed to prove. □

As a consequence of 4.4 and 5.1, we deduce the existence of right almost split morphisms in $d$-cluster-tilting subcategories of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Then, for every indecomposable object $N \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists a morphism $M \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which is right almost split in $\mathcal{M}$.*

*Proof.* Let $H := \mathsf{rad}\,\mathsf{End}_\Lambda(N)$. Then, 4.4 yields a morphism $g\colon M \to N$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which is right $N$-determined in $\mathcal{M}$ and such that $\mathsf{Im}\,\mathsf{Hom}_\Lambda(N,g) = \mathsf{rad}\,\mathsf{End}_\Lambda(N,N)$. By 5.1, the morphism $g$ is right almost split in $\mathcal{M}$. □

We recall the definition of a $d$-almost split sequence.

**Definition 5.3** (see Definition 3.1 in [Iya07b])**.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and

$$\delta\colon \qquad 0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. We say that $\delta$ is a *$d$-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{M}$* if $f$ is left minimal and left almost split in $\mathcal{M}$, $g$ is right minimal and right almost split in $\mathcal{M}$ and for each $i \in \{1,\dots,d-1\}$ the morphism $M^i \to M^{i+1}$ lies in the Jacobson radical of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$.

We are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 5.4** (see Theorem 3.3.1 in [Iya07b])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $d$-cluster-tilting subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$. Then, for each indecomposable non-projective $\Lambda$-module $N \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists a $d$-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ of the form*

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau_d N \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Analogously, for each indecomposable non-injective $\Lambda$-module $L \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists a $d$-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ of the form*

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \longrightarrow \tau_d^- L \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let $N \in \mathcal{M}$ be an indecomposable non-projective $\Lambda$-module. The case of an indecomposable non-injective $\Lambda$-module $L \in \mathcal{M}$ is dual.

By 5.2 there exists a right minimal morphism $g\colon M^d \to N$ which is right almost split in $\mathcal{M}$. Since $N$ is not projective, its projective cover is not a split epimorphism and therefore factors through $g$. Hence $g$ is an epimorphism. Also, note that $g$ lies in the Jacobson radical of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ since $N$ is indecomposable and $g$ is not a split epimorphism. By 2.16 there exists a left $d$-exact sequence

$$\delta\colon \qquad 0 \longrightarrow N' \xrightarrow{f} M^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M^d \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

such that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the morphism $M^i \to M^{i+1}$ lies in the Jacobson radical of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$ and in which $f$ is a left minimal morphism which also lies in the Jacobson radical of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$.

We claim that $f$ is left almost split in $\mathcal{M}$. Indeed, let $h\colon N' \to N''$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{M}$ which is not a split monomorphism and suppose that $h$ does not factor through $f$. By 2.19 and 2.20 there exists a $d$-pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & N' & \xrightarrow{f} & M^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M^d & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & N'' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X^d & \xrightarrow{g'} & N & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

in which the bottom row is a $d$-exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, $g'$ is not a split epimorphism; if it were, by 2.9 the morphism $f'$ would be a split monomorphism and then $h$ would factor through $f$ which would contradict our assumption on $h$. Therefore $g'$ factors through the right almost split morphism $g$. It follows that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & N' & \xrightarrow{f} & M^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M^d & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & N'' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X^d & \xrightarrow{g'} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h'} & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & N' & \xrightarrow{f} & M^1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & M^d & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

By 2.8 there exists a morphism $u\colon M^1 \to N'$ such that $h' \circ h - 1_{N'} = u \circ f$ or, equivalently, $h' \circ h = 1_{N'} + u \circ f$. Since $f$ lies in the Jacobson radical of $\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda$, the morphism $h' \circ h$ is an isomorphism. In particular $h$ is a split monomorphism, which is a contradiction. This shows that $f$ is left almost split in $\mathcal{M}$.

It remains to show that $N' \cong \tau_d N$. Since $f$ is left almost split in $\mathcal{M}$, the $\Lambda$-module $N'$ is indecomposable. Moreover, since $g$ is not a split epimorphism the defect $\delta^*(N)$ is non-zero. Hence, by 3.7, the defect $\delta_*(\tau_d N)$ is non-zero. Therefore there exists a morphism $s\colon N' \to \tau_d N$ which does not factor through $f$ whence $s$ is a split monomorphism. Since $N'$ is indecomposable the morphism $s$ is in fact an isomorphism. This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

## References


[AR74] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten. Stable equivalence of dualizing $R$-varieties. *Advances in Math.*, 12:306–366, 1974.

[AR75] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten. Representation theory of Artin algebras. III. Almost split sequences. *Comm. Algebra*, 3:239–294, 1975.

[AR77a] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten. Representation theory of Artin algebras. IV. Invariants given by almost split sequences. *Comm. Algebra*, 5(5):443–518, 1977.

[AR77b] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten. Representation theory of Artin algebras. V. Methods for computing almost split sequences and irreducible morphisms. *Comm. Algebra*, 5(5):519–554, 1977.

[AR78] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten. Representation theory of Artin algebras. VI. A functorial approach to almost split sequences. *Comm. Algebra*, 6(3):257–300, 1978.

[ARS97] Maurice Auslander, Idun Reiten, and Sverre O. Smalø. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, volume 36 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. Corrected reprint of the 1995 original.

[ASS06] Ibrahim Assem, Daniel Simson, and Andrzej Skowroński. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1*, volume 65 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. Techniques of representation theory.

[Aus71] Maurice Auslander. *Representation dimension of Artin algebras*. Lecture Notes. Queen Mary College, London, 1971.

[Aus74] Maurice Auslander. Representation theory of Artin algebras. I, II. *Comm. Algebra*, 1:177–268; ibid. 1 (1974), 269–310, 1974.

[Aus78] Maurice Auslander. Functors and morphisms determined by objects. In *Representation theory of algebras (Proc. Conf., Temple Univ., Philadelphia, Pa., 1976)*, pages 1–244. Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 37. Dekker, New York, 1978.

[Ber12] George M. Bergman. On diagram-chasing in double complexes. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 26:No. 3, 60–96, 2012.

[GKO13] Christof Geiss, Bernhard Keller, and Steffen Oppermann. $n$-angulated categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 675:101–120, 2013.

[HI11a] Martin Herschend and Osamu Iyama. $n$-representation-finite algebras and twisted fractionally Calabi-Yau algebras. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 43(3):449–466, 2011.

[HI11b] Martin Herschend and Osamu Iyama. Selfinjective quivers with potential and 2-representation-finite algebras. *Compos. Math.*, 147(6):1885–1920, 2011.

[HIMO14] Martin Herschend, Osamu Iyama, Hiroyuki Minamoto, and Steffen Oppermann. Representation theory of Geigle–Lenzing complete intersections. *arXiv:1409.0668*, 2014.

[IJ] Osamu Iyama and Gustavo Jasso. Higher Auslander Correspondence for Dualizing $R$-Varieties, journal = Algebr. Represent. Theory, fjournal = Alegbras and Representation Theory, year = 2016, pages = 1–20, issn = 1572-9079, doi = 10.1007/s10468-016-9645-0, url = http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10468-016-9645-0, url-2 = http://rdcu.be/kJAv, arxiv-url = http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.00127,.

[IO11] Osamu Iyama and Steffen Oppermann. $n$-representation-finite algebras and $n$-APR tilting. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(12):6575–6614, 2011.

[IO13] Osamu Iyama and Steffen Oppermann. Stable categories of higher preprojective algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 244:23–68, 2013.

[Iya07a] Osamu Iyama. Auslander correspondence. *Adv. Math.*, 210(1):51–82, 2007.

[Iya07b] Osamu Iyama. Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories. *Adv. Math.*, 210(1):22–50, 2007.

[Iya08] Osamu Iyama. Auslander-Reiten theory revisited. In *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 349–397. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008.

[Iya11] Osamu Iyama. Cluster tilting for higher Auslander algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 226(1):1–61, 2011.

[Jas16] Gustavo Jasso. $n$-abelian and $n$-exact categories. *Math. Z.*, 283(3-4):703–759, 2016.

[Jør16] Peter Jørgensen. Torsion Classes and t-Structures in Higher Homological Algebra. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (13):3880–3905, 2016.

[Kra03] Henning Krause. A short proof for Auslander's defect formula. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 365:267–270, 2003. Special issue on linear algebra methods in representation theory.

[Kra13] Henning Krause. Morphisms determined by objects in triangulated categories. In *Algebras, quivers and representations*, volume 8 of *Abel Symp.*, pages 195–207. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[Lin14] Zengqiang Lin. Right $n$-angulated categories arising from covariantly finite subcategories. *arXiv:1409.2948*, 2014.

[Miz14] Yuya Mizuno. A Gabriel-type theorem for cluster tilting. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 108(4):836–868, 2014.

[OT12] Steffen Oppermann and Hugh Thomas. Higher-dimensional cluster combinatorics and representation theory. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 14(6):1679–1737, 2012.

[Rin12] Claus Michael Ringel. Morphisms determined by objects: the case of modules over Artin algebras. *Illinois J. Math.*, 56(3):981–1000, 2012.

[Tac73] Hiroyuki Tachikawa. *Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations.* $\mathrm{QF}-3$ *and* $\mathrm{QF}-1$ *rings*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 351. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. Notes by Claus Michael Ringel.

[Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

(Jasso) Mathematisches Institut, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, D-53115 Bonn, Germany
*E-mail address*: gjasso@math.uni-bonn.de
*URL*: https://gustavo.jasso.info

(Kvamme) Mathematisches Institut, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, D-53115 Bonn, Germany
*E-mail address*: sondre@math.uni-bonn.de